

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,200	10/28/2003	Mark E. Zachman	SPC 0378 IA/40719.773	4518
75	90 12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP			ADDIE, RAYMOND W	
One Dayton Cer Suite 500	ntre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dayton, OH 4	5402-2023		3671	
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/695,200	ZACHMAN ET AL.	
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	一)
The MAN INO DATE of this communication on	Raymond W. Addie	3671	/_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	bears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address //	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	anuary 2004.		
,— ,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration. or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/28/03</u> is/are: a) \square a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	ا معدد ا	ow Summany (PTO 412)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04.		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 recites the method step of "measuring a desired grade with the gravity-based cross slope sensor; and storing the desired grade in memory of the control system". That does not define the desired grade being measured and stored. As written, it appears as though there is no limit to the various different "desired grades" that can be measured and stored. However, the specification, particularly page 3 lns. 10-20 only provides that "the desired grade of the cross slope sensor is measured and stored in memory of the tool's control system...That is, the cross slope sensor provides a relative measurement of the interrupted laser receiver which, when coupled with the absolute position of the interrupted laser receive(r)".

Hence, it is clear that only the cross-slope of the sensor itself, as it relates to the cross-slope of the screed head, is the desired grade being measured and stored; and does not include measuring the grade of the soil at the construction site receiving the concrete being leveled. Hence, the cited phrase from claim 2 should be --measuring the current transverse grade of the gravity-based cross slope sensor; and storing said grade in a memory device of the control system.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3671

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hohmann,
- Jr. # 5,556,226 in view of Clegg # 4,807,131 and Burgin # 3,816,937 discloses an automated laser aligned leveling screed and method of use comprising:
- Controlling movement of individual, hydraulically moveable ends (17, 2nd end not numbered) of a screed head (15) so as to maintain a substantially horizontally-level, elevational position between each end of the screed head (15) and an elevational reference (59, 61).
- Providing a control system (67) controlling the hydraulically moveable, 1st and 2nd ends of the screed head.
- Providing a pair of laser receivers (51, 53) to the screed head (15), in communication with the control system (67).
- Setting the pair of laser receivers (51, 53) in an appropriate dead band with the elevational reference. See col. 5, Ins. 43-54.
- Detecting a "column block" situation, wherein at least one laser receiver (51, 53) is obstructed from the elevational reference (59), and maintaining the screed head (15) in a substantially horizontally level orientation. See col. 3, In. 1-col. 5, In. 67.

Art Unit: 3671

In. 26.

What Hohmann, Jr. does not disclose is maintaining the screed head (15) in an orientation approximately parallel with a desired transverse slope, such as is needed in banked curves on roads and drainage sloped in concrete slabs and floors. However, Clegg teaches a fully automated earth-working machine and method of controlling the transverse cross-slope of a leveling implement (32) utilizing multiple sensor systems, such as laser receivers and beacons (12, 10) respectively, in combination with either distance or angle measuring instruments, such as gyroscopes or inertial detectors such as cross slope angle detector (35). Clegg explicitly recites the desirability in combining multiple sensor technologies, in order to take advantage of each systems unique abilities, such that the "interconnection, interaction and interrelationship of such devices is novel and working together, accomplish results not previously accomplished". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the method of controlling a leveling device of Hohmann, Jr., with the method of combining cross-slope sensors with laser elevation detectors, in order to maintain a desired, non-horizontal transverse slope of the leveling device, as taught by Clegg, in order to accomplish leveling results not

Unfortunately Clegg does not disclose what types of cross-slope sensors could be utilized to measure and produce a signal representing the cross-slope of the leveling

previously accomplished. See Clegg, col. 7, In. 37-col. 9, In. 56; col. 10, In. 49-col. 11,

Art Unit: 3671

tool. However, Burgin teaches gravity-based cross-slope sensors are advantageously used with a slope control console, which can be secured to a paver such that the sensor can sense the inclination of a leveling tool, such as a screed head (E), relative to a predetermined slope, since the sensor can be directly attached to the screed head (E). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the method of controlling a leveling device, during a column block situation of Hohmann, Jr., with the method steps of providing and attaching a gravity based cross slope sensor directly to a leveling device, as taught by Clegg and Burgin, in order to maintain the cross slope of the leveling device, with respect to a desired cross-slope, such that the level of accuracy (overall smoothness) of the leveled concrete is greater than that previously achievable. See Burgin col. 2, ln. 51-col. 5, ln. 47.

In regards to claim 2 Hohmann, Jr. teaches a known problem exists when laser receivers of a concrete leveling device is blocked from receiving a reference beam. What Hohmann, Jr. does not disclose is providing a gravity based cross slope sensor to measure and store a current transverse grade of the leveling device. However, Clegg teaches the use of a processing system including a cross slope sensor (35) such that the actual cross slope angle, at which the leveling device is grading a surface, being measured by a cross-slope detector (35) the output of which is encoded in digital form in encoder 35a or to a central processing unit for the system shown at (120).

Art Unit: 3671

For comparison to a desired final grade of the leveling device at any given point on the site.

Further Burgin teaches a cross slope sensor, in the form of a gravity-based cross slope sensor and method of using said gravity based sensor to measure the transverse slope of a paving device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the method of controlling a leveling device in a column block situation, with the method step of measuring the current cross slope of a leveling device, with a gravity based cross slope sensor as taught by Clegg and Burgin, in order to maximize the smoothness of the leveled concrete.

3. Claims 3-5, 7-11, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hohmann, Jr. # 5,556,226 in view of Clegg # 4,807,131.

Hohmann, Jr. discloses a control system for controlling movement of individual, hydraulically moveable ends (17, 2nd end not numbered) of a screed head (15) so as to maintain a substantially horizontally-level, elevational position between each end of the screed head (15) and an elevational reference (59, 61) as the screed head is moved toward the machine (1). Said control system comprising:

1st and 2nd elevation receivers (51, 53) mounted on respective 1st and 2nd ends and providing signals indicating the position of the 1st and 2nd ends of the screed head (15) in relation to an elevational reference (59, 61).

3.

Art Unit: 3671

Hohmann, Jr. further discloses a known problem exists when one of the laser receivers is prevented from receiving the reference laser beam (59).

What Hohmann, Jr. does not disclose is the use of a cross slope sensor and a control circuit responsive to laser receivers and cross slope sensors, to maintain the screed head in an other than horizontal orientation.

However, Clegg teaches a fully automated earth-working machine capable of controlling the transverse cross-slope of a leveling implement (32) utilizing a control circuit (50, 52, 140, 120), which is capable of receiving signals from multiple sensor systems, such as laser receivers and beacons (12, 10) respectively, in combination with either distance or angle measuring instruments, such as gyroscopes or inertial detectors such as cross slope angle detector (35). Such that the signal from the cross slope sensor (35) remains substantially constant; whereby the transverse slope also is maintained substantially constant. Clegg explicitly recites the desirability in combining multiple sensor technologies, to maintain a desired transverse slope of the leveling device, in order to take advantage of each systems unique abilities, such that the "interconnection, interaction and interrelationship of such devices is novel and working together, accomplish results not previously accomplished".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the paving machine of Hohmann, Jr. with a cross slope

Art Unit: 3671

sensor and control system capable of receiving signals from both laser receivers and cross slope sensors, as taught by Clegg, in order to maintain a desired transverse slope of a leveling device, when one of the sensor systems is not functioning properly; as reasonably suggested by Clegg. See cols. 9-11.

In regards to claims 5, 10 Hohmann, Jr. discloses the claimed invention as put forth with respect to claim 3 above for controlling movement of individual hydraulically moveable ends of a screed head carried by a boom of a machine so as to maintain a horizontal position between each end of the screed head and a reference (59, 61) in a concrete paving application as the screed head is moved toward the machine; but does not disclose utilizing additional sensor systems and control circuit capable of receiving signals from additional sensors. Clegg explicitly recites the desirability in combining multiple sensor technologies, to maintain a desired transverse slope of the leveling device, in order to take advantage of each systems unique abilities, such that the "interconnection, interaction and interrelationship of such devices is novel and working together, accomplish results not previously accomplished". To include the use of relative motion signal generators and inertial detectors, which is seen to include inclinometers. See col. 12, Ins. 1-20.

Art Unit: 3671

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the paving machine of Hohmann, Jr. with a cross slope sensor and control system capable of receiving signals from both laser receivers and cross slope sensors, as taught by Clegg, in order to maintain a desired transverse slope of a leveling device, when one of the sensor systems is not functioning properly; as reasonably suggested by Clegg. See cols. 9-11.

In regards to claims 7, 8 Hohmann, Jr. disclose the use of laser beacons and laser receivers.

In regards to claims 13, 15, 16 Hohmann, Jr. discloses a method of controlling the elevational position of hydraulically moveable ends of a tool in relation to a reference detected by elevation receivers (51, 53), such as laser receivers attached to longitudinal ends of the screed head (15), the method comprising:

Selecting a desired elevational position of the screed head (15) with respect to a laser reference beam (59).

Controlling the elevational positions of the ends of the tool using the sensed positions of the ends of the tool in relation to the reference laser beam when both are known.

Hohmann, Jr. further discloses a known problem exists when one of the laser receivers does not receive an elevational reference beam (59).

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/695,200

Art Unit: 3671

What Hohmann, Jr. does not disclose are the steps of measuring the positions of the ends of the tool in relation to a reference, and sensing the cross slope of tool. However, Clegg teaches a fully automated earth-working machine and method of controlling the transverse cross-slope of a leveling implement (32) utilizing multiple sensor systems, such as laser receivers and beacons (12, 10) respectively, in combination with a cross slope angle detector (35).

The method comprising the steps of:

Sensing with the elevational receivers (12) the positions of the ends of the tool in relation to a laser reference beam.

Sensing the transverse slope of the tool with a cross slope sensor (35).

į.

Controlling the elevational ends of the tool using at least one signal from a laser receiver (12) and the cross slope sensor signal to maintain the tool in a desired transverse slope.

Further, Clegg explicitly recites the desirability in combining multiple sensor technologies, in order to take advantage of each systems unique abilities, such that the "interconnection, interaction and interrelationship of such devices is novel and working together, accomplish results not previously accomplished". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the method of controlling a leveling device of Hohmann, Jr., with the method of combining cross-slope sensors with laser elevation detectors, in order to maintain a desired, non-horizontal transverse slope of the leveling device, as taught by Clegg, in

Art Unit: 3671

order to accomplish leveling results not previously accomplished. See Clegg, col. 7, ln. 37-col. 9, ln. 56; col. 10, ln. 49-col. 11, ln. 26.

In regards to Claim 14 Hohmann, Jr. discloses a method of controlling laser-guided screed heads, during a column block situation, wherein during retracting of the screed head on the boom, a laser beacon is known to become blocked from a laser receiver on one of the ends of the screed head. Hohmann, Jr. explicitly discloses "As the screed is moved around the floor (this is seen to include retraction and lateral motion of the boom)...the vertical support columns frequently block the laser beam...and it disrupts the automatic operation of the laser screed...The operator must immediately assume manual height control of the affected screed end when the column block light is illuminated...until the sensor moves into a position where it is unblocked (which is seen to include retraction and lateral motion of the screed head, as is normal operation of the paving machine. Hence, it would have been obvious to the method of controlling a laser guided screed head during a column block situation of Hohmann, Jr. to terminate control of the ends of the screed head and assuming manual control of the screed head, to complete retraction of the screed head, past the building column causing the "blocked sensor" until the sensor moves into a position where it is unblocked, includes retraction and lateral motion of the screed head, since both motions are commonly necessary to clear the blocked sensor. See col. 2, Ins. 33-col. 3, In. 5.

Art Unit: 3671

4. Claims 6, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hohmann, Jr. # 5,556,226 in view of Clegg # 4,807,131 in view of Heiser et al. #4,925,340.

Hohmann, Jr. in view of Clegg discloses a control system of maintaining a non-horizontal orientation of a screed head for forming roads and the like having a desired cross section, to include the desirability to of utilizing combinations of sensor technologies such as laser receivers and gravity-type cross-slope sensors, but does not explicitly recite using a pendulum type cross-slope sensor.

However, Heiser et al. teaches pendulum type cross-slope sensor are commonly used on paving machines, specifically to maintain a desired transverse slope of a leveling device (12) with respect to gravity, and is mounted directly on the leveling device to for maximum accuracy. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide the paving machine of Hohmann, Jr. in view of Clegg, with a pendulum type cross slope sensor, as taught by Heiser et al., in order to form inclined concrete surfaces, to exacting specifications. See Heiser et al., Figs. 3, 4; Col. 2, In. 30-col. 3, In. 44.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Musil et al. # 5,356,238 discloses a paving machine.

Art Unit: 3671

Malone # 5,752,783 discloses a method of profiling and paving a road. Dahlinger et al.

6,082,927 discloses a paving machine having multiple cross-slope sensors.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Raymond W. Addie whose telephone number is 703

305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-2, 6-8.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Thomas B. Will can be reached on 703 308-3870. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raymond Addie

Patent Examiner

Group 3600

12/10/04